

# Hydrodynamic and entropic effects on colloidal diffusion in corrugated channels

Xiang Yang<sup>a,b</sup>, Chang Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Yunyun Li<sup>c,d</sup>, Fabio Marchesoni<sup>c,e</sup>, Peter Hänggi<sup>f,g</sup>, and H. P. Zhang<sup>a,b,h,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Natural Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China; <sup>c</sup>Center for Phononics and Thermal Energy Science, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China; <sup>d</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Special Artificial Microstructure Materials and Technology, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China; <sup>e</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy; <sup>f</sup>Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany; <sup>g</sup>Nanosystems Initiative Munich, Schellingstraße 4, D-80799 Munich, Germany; and <sup>h</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, China

Edited by David A. Weitz, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, and approved July 25, 2017 (received for review May 10, 2017)

In the absence of advection, confined diffusion characterizes transport in many natural and artificial devices, such as ionic channels, zeolites, and nanopores. While extensive theoretical and numerical studies on this subject have produced many important predictions, experimental verifications of the predictions are rare. Here, we experimentally measure colloidal diffusion times in microchannels with periodically varying width and contrast results with predictions from the Fick-Jacobs theory and Brownian dynamics simulation. While the theory and simulation correctly predict the entropic effect of the varying channel width, they fail to account for hydrodynamic effects, which include both an overall decrease and a spatial variation of diffusivity in channels. Neglecting such hydrodynamic effects, the theory and simulation underestimate the mean and standard deviation of first passage times by 40% in channels with a neck width twice the particle diameter. We further show that the validity of the Fick–Jacobs theory can be restored by reformulating it in terms of the experimentally measured diffusivity. Our work thus shows that hydrodynamic effects play a key role in diffusive transport through narrow channels and should be included in theoretical and numerical models.

diffusion | colloid | confinement | hydrodynamics | entropic effects

**D** iffusive transport occurs prevalently in confined geometries (1, 2). Notable examples include the dispersion of tracers in permeable rocks (3), diffusion of chemicals in ramified matrices (4), and the motion of submicrometer corpuscles in living tissues (5, 6). The subject of confined diffusion is of paramount relevance to technological applications and for this reason, has been generating growing interest in the physics (1, 2), mathematics (7), engineering (3), and biology communities (5, 6, 8).

Spatial confinement can fundamentally change equilibrium and dynamical properties of a system via two different effects: limiting the configuration space accessible to its diffusing components (1) and increasing the hydrodynamic drag (9) on them. The former (entropic effect) has been extensively studied analytically and numerically in the case of quasi-1D structures, such as ionic channels (10), zeolites (4), microfluidic channels (11, 12), and nanopores (13). In these systems, transport takes place along a preferred direction, with the spatial constraints varying along it. Focusing on the transport direction, Jacobs (14) and Zwanzig (15), in the absence of advective effects, assumed that the transverse dfs equilibrate fast and proposed to eliminate them adiabatically by means of an approximate perturbation scheme. In first order, they derived a reduced diffusion equation, known as the Fick-Jacobs (FJ) equation, reminiscent of an ordinary 1D Fokker-Planck equation in vacuo, except for two entropic terms (2, 16–19). Predictions of the FJ equation have been extensively checked against Brownian dynamics (BD) simulations in different types of channels (16, 19-27). Using the FJ theory and BD simulations, researchers have predicted a variety of novel entropy-driven transport mechanisms, such as drive-dependent mobilities (2, 18, 20), stochastic resonance (28, 29), absolute negative mobilities (30), entropic rectification (31, 32), and particle separation (33). Several of these predictions are presently recognized as being of both fundamental and technological importance.

While these previous studies (2, 14–33) significantly improved our understanding of the entropic effects of confining boundaries, they largely ignored the hydrodynamic effects, which are notoriously difficult to treat analytically and in simulations (9, 34). How will hydrodynamic effects change the established entropic picture? To address this important question, we turn to laboratory experiments (12, 35-39) and measure the diffusive dynamics of micrometric colloidal particles through channels with systematically modulated cross-sections. Contrasting the experimental results with predictions obtained by FJ approximation and from BD simulation, we discover that, as the channel's width shrinks toward the particle's diameter, hydrodynamic effects (9, 34, 40–43) grow in strength and become comparable with the predicted entropic effects, thus indicating an unexpected breakdown of the standard FJ theory and BD simulation in narrow channels. We further show that hydrodynamic effects can be incorporated by using an experimentally measured local diffusivity. With such a phenomenological modification, the FJ theory and BD simulation accurately predict the experimental data.

### Results

Our channels were fabricated on a coverslip by means of a two-photon direct laser writing system, which solidifies polymers

### Significance

When a particle diffuses in a corrugated channel, the channel's boundaries have a twofold effect of limiting the configuration space accessible to the particle and increasing its hydrodynamic drag. Analytical and numerical approaches well-reproduce the former (entropic) effect, while ignoring the latter (hydrodynamic) effect. Here, we experimentally investigate nonadvective colloidal diffusion in channels with periodically varying width. While validating the current theory for channels much wider than the particle radius, we show that, in narrow channels, hydrodynamic and entropic effects can be equally strong and that hydrodynamic effects can be incorporated into existing descriptions by using an experimentally measured diffusivity. These results significantly advance our understanding of diffusive transport in confined geometries, such as in ionic channels and nanopores.

Author contributions: H.P.Z. designed research; X.Y., C.L., and H.P.Z. performed research; X.Y., Y.L., F.M., and P.H. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; X.Y., C.L., F.M., P.H., and H.P.Z. analyzed data; and X.Y., F.M., P.H., and H.P.Z. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: hepeng\_zhang@sjtu.edu.cn.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1707815114/-/DCSupplemental.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

according to the preassigned channel profile, f(x), with a submicromicrometer resolution. As shown in Fig. 1A, the quasi-2D channel has a uniform height of 2.5 µm (z direction). The curved side walls are 0.7 µm thick, and their inner side walls are a distance  $\pm h(x)$  away from the channel's axis (x direction).

After fabrication, channels were immersed in water with fluorescently labeled Polystyrene spheres of radius  $r = 0.5 \,\mu$ m. A holographic optical tweezer was used to drag a particle into the channel through a narrow entrance (red asterisks in Fig. 1*A*). The entrances are barely wider than the particle diameter so as to create insurmountable entropic barriers (2), which prevent the particle inside the channel from escaping. Particle motion in the quasi-2D channel was recorded through a microscope at rate of 30 frames per second for up to 20 h (see Movie S1 for a short segment of typical data). The projected particle trajectory in the *xy* plane was extracted from the recorded videos by standard particle-tracking algorithms; particle diffusion perpendicular to the imaging *xy* plane was not resolved in our measurements.

Inside the channels, the particle diffuses in a flat energy landscape. To show that, we quantized the measured particle coordinates (x, y) into small bins  $(0.4 \times 0.25 \ \mu\text{m}^2)$  and counted the number of times that the particle enters each bin. As shown in



Fig. 1. (A) Scanning electron image of a channel of inner height 2.5  $\mu$ m. Narrow openings at the two ends are marked by red asterisks. *Inset* illustrates the channel's geometry: the laser-scanning contour, f(x), the wall inner boundary, h(x), and the effective boundary of the space accessible to the particle center, g(x);  $d_t \simeq 0.7 \,\mu$ m and  $r = 0.5 \,\mu$ m are the wall thickness and the particle radius, respectively. (B) Spatial distribution of particle counts in a typical 20-h-long experiment. The effective channel boundary is marked by black lines and is denoted by  $\pm g(x)$  (Eq. 1); here,  $g_n = 0.5 \,\mu$ m, and  $g_w = 4.5 \,\mu$ m.

Fig. 1*B*, particle counts are uniformly distributed with a standard deviation (SD) of about 12% of the mean. Regions where the particle counts drop sharply to zero are inaccessible to the particle's center and in Fig. 1*B*, are delimited by the black curves (Fig. 2*A*, *Inset*). The effective channel's boundary [denoted by g(x)] is a periodic function; in the central region, the boundary was given the form of a cosine, which then tapers off to a constant in correspondence with the bottlenecks, that is

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( g_w + g_n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_w - g_n \right) \cos \left( \frac{16\pi x}{7L} \right), \ |x| < \frac{7}{16}L \\ g_n, \ \frac{7}{16}L \le |x| \le \frac{L}{2} \end{cases}$$
[1]

The length of the channel unit cell was kept fixed in all experiments,  $L = 12.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ , while the parameters  $g_n$  and  $g_w$ , representing its minimum and maximum half-width, respectively, were varied. For the channel shown in Fig. 1*B*,  $g_n = 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ , and  $g_w = 4.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

First Passage Time Statistics. From acquired particle trajectories, we measured the first passage times (FPTs) (7, 44, 45). As in the FJ theory, we focus on the particle motion along the channel direction and measure the duration of the unconditional first passage events that start at x = 0 (red segment in Fig. 2A, Inset) and end at  $x = \pm \Delta x$  (blue segments in Fig. 2A, Inset), with no restriction on the transverse coordinate y. Distributions of experimentally measured unconditional FPTs, also denoted by  $T(\pm \Delta x|0)$ , are plotted in Fig. 2 A and B; all distributions (for three  $\Delta x$ values in two channels of different bottleneck half-width,  $q_n$ ) exhibit an exponential tail, similar in spirit with the narrow escape problem (7). From these measured FPT distributions, we extract the means,  $\langle T(\pm \Delta x|0) \rangle$ , and the SDs,  $\sigma_T(\pm \Delta x|0) :=$  $\sqrt{\langle T^2(\pm\Delta x|0)\rangle - \langle T(\pm\Delta x|0)\rangle^2}$ ; our results are plotted in Fig. 2 C-F against the diffusing distance,  $\Delta x$ . A decrease of the bottleneck width,  $g_n$ , from 1.5 µm in Fig. 2C to 0.5 µm in Fig. 2D sharply increases the diffusion time. For instance, the mean FPT to the center of the adjacent cells,  $\langle T(\pm L|0) \rangle$ , nearly triples from 300 s in Fig. 2C to 900 s in Fig. 2D. A similar increase can be observed in the SDs,  $\sigma_T(\pm \Delta x|0)$ , depicted in Fig. 2 E and F. To this regard, we notice that, for both channels, the experimental curves  $\langle T(\pm \Delta x|0) \rangle$  and  $\sigma_T(\pm \Delta x|0)$  almost overlap, which is to be expected in view of the exponential decay of the relevant FPT distributions (45). We note that the long-time channel diffusion coefficient (1)  $D_{ch} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \langle [x(t) - x(0)]^2 \rangle / (2t)$ can be estimated in terms of an appropriate mean FPT: that is (45),  $D_{ch} = L^2/(2\langle T(\pm L|0)\rangle)$ . In periodically corrugated channels,  $D_{ch}$  has been estimated within the FJ formalism by means of the Lifson–Jackson formula for diffusivity (46).

We next compare our experimental data with the predictions of the standard FJ theory and BD simulations. The channel geometry renders our experimental system effectively 2D; analytical and numerical studies were carried out in the same dimension. Following the FJ scheme and taking advantage of symmetry properties of our experiments, we calculate the analytical expression,

$$\langle T_{FJ}(\pm\Delta x|0)\rangle = \int_{0}^{\Delta x} \frac{d\eta}{g(\eta)\mathbb{D}(\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} g(\xi)d\xi,$$
 [2]

for the mean FPT. Here,  $\mathbb{D}(x)$  is the effective local diffusivity containing the entropic corrections that result from the adiabatic elimination of the transverse coordinate, y. Among the (slightly) different functions  $\mathbb{D}(x)$  proposed in the recent literature (21), we adopted the Reguera–Rubì heuristic expression (16), that is,

$$\mathbb{D}(x) = \frac{D_0}{\left[1 + g'(x)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$
[3]



**Fig. 2.** (*A* and *B*) Probability distributions, (*C* and *D*) averages, and (*E* and *F*) SDs of the FPTs in (*A*, *C*, and *E*) wide ( $g_n = 1.5 \mu$ m) and (*B*, *D*, and *F*) narrow ( $g_n = 0.5 \mu$ m) channels with the same maximum half-width,  $g_w = 4.5 \mu$ m. In *A* and *B*, experimental data (symbols) are compared with the outcome of BD simulations (curves) for the spatially modulated diffusivity,  $D_{hyd}(x)$ . The relevant channel profiles,  $\pm g(x)$ , are shown in *A*, *Inset* and *B*, *Inset*; vertical dashed segments mark the starting (red: x = 0) and ending (blue:  $x = \pm \Delta x$ ) positions of the first passage events. In *C*–*F*, experimental, numerical, and theoretical results are shown as solid symbols, open symbols, and solid curves, respectively. Numerical and theoretical results with constant  $D_0$  and varying  $D_{hyd}$  diffusivity are color-coded orange and green, respectively.

where g'(x) is the slope of the channel's profile g(x), and  $D_0$  is the particle's diffusivity away from side walls. We also calculated the second FPT moment,

$$\langle T_{FJ}^2(\pm\Delta x|0)\rangle = \int_0^{\Delta x} \frac{2d\eta}{g(\eta)\mathbb{D}(\eta)} \int_0^{\eta} g(\xi) \langle T_{FJ}(\pm\Delta x|\xi)\rangle d\xi,$$
[4]

where  $\langle T_{FJ}(\pm \Delta x | \xi) \rangle$  reads like in Eq. 2, except that the outer integral runs here from  $\xi$  to  $\Delta x$ . The derivation of Eqs. 2 and 4 can be found in *SI Appendix*.

To use Eqs. 2 to 4, one needs to know the diffusivity  $D_0$ . In an unbounded space, the diffusivity of a sphere coincides with the Stokes–Einstein value,  $D_{SE}$ . However, a general expression for the diffusivity of a colloidal particle in a confined geometry is not available. Hence, we experimentally measured  $D_0$  by monitoring the diffusion of the particle about the center of a channel's cell, where the entropic effects are minimal, and for displacements smaller than one particle radius. Eqs. 2 and 4 were then computed explicitly for the measured value of  $D_0$ and the actual channel geometry (namely, the parameters  $g_n$ ,  $g_w$ , and L). For the sake of a comparison, 2D BD simulations were also performed for the same model parameters. Theoretical and numerical results (orange symbols and curves in Fig. 2) C-F) agree closely with each other for both the wide and narrow channels. The comparison with the experimental data, instead, is satisfactory only in the case of the wide channel (Fig. 2 C and E). For the narrow channel in Fig. 2 D and F, the experimental data with  $\Delta x > 6 \,\mu\text{m}$  are as much as 40% larger than the predicted values. To further investigate this discrepancy, we carried out experiments in channels with different width parameters,  $g_w$  and  $g_n$ ; the discrepancy is quantified in Fig. 3 by the relative mean–FPT difference at  $\Delta x = L/2$  (bottleneck midpoints),  $E_{FJ} = [\langle T(\pm L/2|0) \rangle - \langle T_{FJ}(\pm L/2|0) \rangle] / \langle T_{FJ}(\pm L/2|0) \rangle].$  For narrow channels, the experimental values are consistently larger than the corresponding theoretical and numerical predictions. The discrepancy depends weakly on the amplitude of the channel modulation,  $g_w - g_n$ , but increases significantly with decreasing bottleneck half-width,  $g_n$ .

**Diffusivity Measurements.** The theoretical and numerical predictions discussed so far assume a constant particle diffusivity,  $D_0$ , throughout the channel, which is a reasonable approximation for particle diameters much smaller than the channel width. However, this assumption is doomed to fail for small bottleneck widths (when the FJ approach is supposed to work best), because the proximity of no slip side walls in the neck regions is known to increase the hydrodynamic drag on a finite-sized particle and therefore, suppress its local diffusivity (40–43, 47, 48).

To show such a hydrodynamic effect in our device, we measured the particle diffusivity inside the channel. At any given location, (x, y), we recorded the particle mean-squared displacement in the x direction,  $\langle \delta x^2(x, y) \rangle$ , for a time interval  $\delta t =$ 0.2 s and estimated the local diffusivity through Einstein's law,  $D(x, y) = \langle \delta x^2(x, y) \rangle / (2\delta t)$ . As shown in *SI Appendix*, the value chosen for  $\delta t$  is long enough to ensure good statistics for our measurements of D(x, y); during  $\delta t$ , particle displacements are smaller than  $0.5 \,\mu$ m, so that channel corrugation does not affect diffusivity measurements (46). Measurements of D(x, y) in the wide and narrow channels are shown in Fig. 4 A and B. In both, D(x, y) is largest in the open regions at the center of the unit cells and strongly suppressed in the bottlenecks. In the spirit of the FJ theory, we average D(x, y) along the transverse direction

$$D_{hyd}(x) := \frac{1}{2g(x)} \int_{-g(x)}^{g(x)} D(x, y) dy$$
 [5]



**Fig. 3.** Deviation of theoretical predictions from experimental results,  $E_{FJ}$  (definition in the text), increases with decreasing the bottleneck halfwidth,  $g_n$ , and weakly depends on the modulation amplitude,  $g_w - g_n$ , of the channel.

and plot  $D_{hyd}(x)/D_0$  as a function of x in Fig. 4C. The spatial variabilities of  $D_{hyd}(x)$  are about 10 and 40% for the wide and narrow channels, respectively.

We corroborate the local diffusivity measurements with full hydrodynamic computations. The hydrodynamic friction coefficient in the x direction  $\gamma(x, y)$  was computed by means of a finite element package (COMSOL); the local diffusivity was calculated via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem,  $D(x, y) = k_B T / \gamma(x, y)$ , and the result is averaged over the y coordinate to obtain  $D_{hyd}(x)$ . Results from finite element calculations are shown in Fig. 4C as curves and are in excellent agreement with the experimental data.

Hydrodynamic Correction. Fig. 4 depicts that particle diffusion through narrow bottlenecks can be significantly slower than in the wide region; moreover, the spatial modulation of the local particle diffusivity increases with decrease in the bottleneck width, which explains the results in Fig. 3. This spatial modulation is in clear contrast with the assumption of constant diffusivity that we adopted above when implementing the FJ formalism and the BD simulation code. To appreciate the effect of the spatial dependence of the local diffusivity, we replace the constant diffusivity,  $D_0$ , with the experimental measurement,  $D_{hyd}(x)$ , reported in Fig. 4C, both in the theoretical treatment and in the numerical code. The analytical and numerical predictions are plotted in Fig. 2 C-F as green curves and symbols, respectively. Their agreement with the experimental data is excellent. Furthermore, we used the improved BD code to also compute, other than the first two FPT moments, the FPT distributions displayed in Fig. 2A and B. Again, the close comparison obtained with the experimental data confirms the validity of our phenomenological approach.

### Discussion

The coincidence of approximate analytical predictions and simulation results occurs for any choice of the local diffusivity [i.e.,  $D_0$  or  $D_{hyd}(x)$ ] as illustrated in Fig. 2. This means that the FJ theory well-describes the entropic effects of particle transport in weakly corrugated channels with |g'(x)| < 1 (21). However, assuming constant particle diffusivity, as is common practice in the current literature, can lead to large discrepancies between theoretical predictions and experimental observations. Indeed, to correctly analyze the diffusion of finite-sized parti-

cles in narrow channels, one needs to account for the hydrodynamic effects as well. Because there is no general analytical solution for particle diffusivity in a corrugated confinement, we substituted the constant diffusivity,  $D_0$ , with an empirical function from experimental measurements,  $D_{hyd}(x)$ . The substitution  $D_0 \rightarrow D_{hyd}(x)$  in Eq. **3** suggests a phenomenological factorization of entropic and hydrodynamic effects, with validity that is justified a posteriori by the reported close comparison with the experimental data. In conclusion, we have shown that, by making use of the measured diffusivity  $D_{hyd}(x)$  in Fig. 4*C*, the FJ theory can be improved to accurately predict the FPT statistics of Fig. 2; the FJ approach thus remains a powerful analytical tool to investigate diffusion in complex channels.

As shown in Fig. 4 A and B, the local diffusivity, D(x, y), displays a rich 2D structure, which cannot be analytically predicted. The comparison with a more tractable geometry helps illustrate the phenomenon of the hydrodynamic diffusivity suppression advocated above. For a spherical particle of a radius r diffusing along the axis of a relatively long cylinder (34, 49), the particle diffusivity is approximated by



**Fig. 4.** (*A* and *B*) Normalized local diffusivity from experiments,  $D(x, y)/D_0$ , measured in the wide and narrow channels of Fig. 2. (*C*) Normalized diffusivity,  $D_{hyd}(x)/D_0$ , vs. *x*. Experimental data and results from finite element calculations are represented by symbols and curves, respectively. Experimentally measured  $D_0$  values are 0.29 and 0.27  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s for wide and narrow channels, respectively; the Stokes–Einstein equation predicts a sphere diffusivity of  $D_{SE} = 0.5 \,\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s in an unbounded space.

$$D_{\text{cyl}} \approx D_{\text{SE}} \left[ 1 - 2.104 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) + 2.089 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^3 \right],$$
 [6]

where R denotes the cylinder radius. According to Eq. 6, particle diffusivity in confined geometries is generally smaller than in an unbounded space. In our channels, the maximum diffusivity  $D_0$  is about 60% of the Stokes–Einstein predicted value,  $D_{SE}$ (Fig. 4). Diffusivity also tends to decrease as the confinement grows tighter (i.e., for larger r/R); this qualitatively explains why diffusivity is smaller in the necking regions of our channel. In certain applications, such as the entropic splitters (33), one has recourse to tight confinement to generate high entropic barriers; we expect hydrodynamically suppressed diffusion to play an important role in these situations and possibly boost the separation efficiency.

In this work, we focused on the nonadvective diffusion of a single particle, although technological applications often involve many suspended particles driven by external fields (12, 35–38). Particle transport is certainly complicated by excluded volume and hydrodynamic interactions between nearby particles in dense suspensions. Moreover, external driving may prevent the system from equilibrating in the transverse directions and produce even more complex transport patterns (20); it can also cause additional hydrodynamic effects (36, 37, 50). The experimental setup and the data analysis methods presented here provide a promising framework for future systematic investigations of these important and challenging problems.

#### **Materials and Methods**

**Channel Fabrication and Imaging Procedure.** Microchannels were fabricated with a two-photon direct laser writing system ( $\mu$ FAB3D from Teem Photonics). This system uses a microscope objective lens (Zeiss Fluar 100×, N.A. 1.3) to focus pulsed laser (Nd:YAG microchip laser with 532-nm wavelength, 750-ps pulse width, and 40-kHz repetition rate) into a droplet of photoresist resin that is mounted on a piezo-nanopositioning stage (Physik Instrumente model P-563.3CL). We used a polymer resin ORMOCOMP (Micro Resist Technology GmbH) with a photoinitiator [1,3,5-Tris(2-(9-ethylcabazyl-

- 1. Hänggi P, Marchesoni F (2009) Artificial brownian motors: Controlling transport on the nanoscale. *Rev Mod Phys* 81:387–442.
- Burada PS, Hänggi P, Marchesoni F, Schmid G, Talkner P (2009) Diffusion in confined geometries. ChemPhysChem 10:45–54.
- Berkowitz B, Cortis A, Dentz M, Scher H (2006) Modeling non-fickian transport in geological formations as a continuous time random walk. *Rev Geophys* 44: RG2003.
- Kärger J, Ruthven DM (1992) Diffusion in Zeolites and Other Microporous Solids (John Wiley, New York).
- Zhou HX, Rivas G, Minton AP (2008) Macromolecular crowding and confinement: Biochemical, biophysical, and potential physiological consequences. *Annu Rev Biophys* 37:375–397.
- Bressloff PC, Newby JM (2013) Stochastic models of intracellular transport. Rev Mod Phys 85:135–196.
- Benichou O, Voituriez R (2014) From first-passage times of random walks in confinement to geometry-controlled kinetics. *Phys Rep* 539:225–284.
- 8. Hofling F, Franosch T (2013) Anomalous transport in the crowded world of biological cells. *Rep Prog Phys* 76:046602.
- Deen WM (1987) Hindered transport of large molecules in liquid-filled pores. AIChE J 33:1409–1425.
- Hille B (2001) Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes (Sinauer, Sunderland, MA).
   Kettner C, Reimann P, Hänggi P, Müller F (2000) Drift ratchet. Phys Rev E 61: 312–323.
- Matthias S, Müller F (2003) Asymmetric pores in a silicon membrane acting as massively parallel brownian ratchets. *Nature* 424:53–57.
- 13. Wanunu M, et al. (2010) Rapid electronic detection of probe-specific micrornas using thin nanopore sensors. *Nat Nanotechnol* 5:807–814.
- 14. Jacobs M (1967) Diffusion Processes (Springer, New York).
- 15. Zwanzig R (1992) Diffusion past an entropy barrier. J Phys Chem 96:3926-3930.
- Reguera D, Rubi JM (2001) Kinetic equations for diffusion in the presence of entropic barriers. *Phys Rev E* 64:061106.
- Kalinay P, Percus JK (2006) Corrections to the Fick-Jacobs equation. Phys Rev E 74:041203.
- Reguera D, et al. (2006) Entropic transport: Kinetics, scaling, and control mechanisms. *Phys Rev Lett* 96:130603.
- Berezhkovskii AM, Pustovoit MA, Bezrukov SM (2007) Diffusion in a tube of varying cross section: Numerical study of reduction to effective one-dimensional description. J Chem Phys 126:134706.

3)ethylene)benzene]. Photopolymerization occurs and solidifies the resin at the focal point; the piezo stage scans the resin relative to the focal point along a preassigned trajectory [f(x) in Fig. 1A, *Inset*] to fabricate the desired structure. After the scanning is finished, the remaining liquid resin was removed by washing the structure with 4-methyl-2-pentanone and then acetone for 5 min. Then, channels were thoroughly cleaned with distilled water to prevent particles from sticking to the channel boundaries.

Fluorescently labeled Polystyrene particles were purchased from Invitrogen (catalog no. F13080). Particle motion was recorded through a 60× oil objective (N.A. 1.3) in an inverted fluorescent microscope (Nikon Ti-E). With the help of an autofocus function (Nikon Perfect Focus), we imaged the diffusion of a colloidal particle in the channel for up to 20 h at room temperature (27 °C).

**BD Simulation.** The motion of a colloid particle is governed by a 2D overdamped Langevin equation in simulations. The particle diffusivity varies spatially when the diffusivity function  $D_{hyd}(x)$  is used; for thermodynamic consistency, we adopted the transport (also known as kinetic or isothermal) convention (51–54) to compute the stochastic integral (51, 55). The channel boundary was represented by a string of fixed particles, which interact with the colloidal particle via a short-range repulsive force. Particle trajectories from simulation were analyzed in the same way as their experimental counterpart to extract the effective volume of the channel's unit cell and the FPTs. *SI Appendix* has more details.

**Finite Element Calculation.** We solved the Stokes equations in a typical setup shown in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2A. No slip boundary conditions were imposed on the side walls, floor, and ceiling, and open boundary conditions were imposed at the channel openings. The geometry of the side wall was set to reproduce the inner channel boundary measured in the experiments (Fig. 1A, *Inset*). A sphere was driven with a constant speed,  $v_x$ , in the *x* direction; at different points, (x, y) is on a horizontal plane. We measured the drag force,  $f_x$ , and computed the hydrodynamic drag coefficient,  $\gamma(x, y) = f_x/v_x$ . *SI Appendix* has more details.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Mingcheng Yang and Xiaqing Shi for useful discussions. We acknowledge the financial support of National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants 11422427 and 11505128 and Program for Professor of Special Appointment at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning Grant SHDP201301.

- Burada PS, Schmid G, Reguera D, Rubi JM, Hänggi P (2007) Biased diffusion in confined media: Test of the Fick-Jacobs approximation and validity criteria. *Phys Rev E* 75:051111.
- Berezhkovskii AM, Dagdug L, Bezrukov SM (2015) Range of applicability of modified Fick-Jacobs equation in two dimensions. J Chem Phys 143:164102.
- Ai BQ, Liu LG (2006) Current in a three-dimensional periodic tube with unbiased forces. *Phys Rev E* 74:051114.
- Dagdug L, Berezhkovskii AM, Makhnovskii YA, Zitserman VY, Bezrukov SM (2011) Communication: Turnover behavior of effective mobility in a tube with periodic entropy potential. J Chem Phys 134:101102.
- Borromeo M, Marchesoni F (2010) Particle transport in a two-dimensional septate channel. Chem Phys 375:536–539.
- Bradley RM (2009) Diffusion in a two-dimensional channel with curved midline and varying width: Reduction to an effective one-dimensional description. *Phys Rev E* 80:061142.
- Dagdug L, Pineda I (2012) Projection of two-dimensional diffusion in a curved midline and narrow varying width channel onto the longitudinal dimension. J Chem Phys 137:024107.
- Bauer M, Godec A, Metzler R (2014) Diffusion of finite-size particles in twodimensional channels with random wall configurations. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 16:6118–6128.
- 28. Burada PS, et al. (2008) Entropic stochastic resonance. Phys Rev Lett 101:130602.
- Ding H, Jiang HJ, Hou ZH (2015) Entropic stochastic resonance without external force in oscillatory confined space. J Chem Phys 142:194109.
- Hänggi P, Marchesoni F, Savelèv S, Schmid G (2010) Asymmetry in shape causing absolute negative mobility. Phys Rev E 82:041121.
- Marchesoni F, Savelèv S (2009) Rectification currents in two-dimensional artificial channels. Phys Rev E 80:011120.
- Malgaretti P, Pagonabarraga I, Rubi JM (2013) Confined brownian ratchets. J Chem Phys 138:194906.
- Reguera D, et al. (2012) Entropic splitter for particle separation. Phys Rev Lett 108:020604.
- Happel J, Brenner H (1965) Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ).
- Marquet C, Buguin A, Talini L, Silberzan P (2002) Rectified motion of colloids in asymmetrically structured channels. *Phys Rev Lett* 88:168301.
- Huang LR, Cox EC, Austin RH, Sturm JC (2004) Continuous particle separation through deterministic lateral displacement. *Science* 304:987–990.

- Loutherback K, Puchalla J, Austin RH, Sturm JC (2009) Deterministic microfluidic ratchet. *Phys Rev Lett* 102:045301.
- Mathwig K, Müller F, Gösele U (2011) Particle transport in asymmetrically modulated pores. New J Phys 13:033038.
- Pagliara S, et al. (2014) Diffusion coefficients and particle transport in synthetic membrane channels. Eur Phys J Spec Top 223:3145–3163.
- Volpe G, Helden L, Brettschneider T, Wehr J, Bechinger C (2010) Influence of noise on force measurements. *Phys Rev Lett* 104:170602.
- Chen SB (2011) Drag force of a particle moving axisymmetrically in open or closed cavities. J Chem Phys 135:014904.
- Dettmer SL, Pagliara S, Misiunas K, Keyser UF (2014) Anisotropic diffusion of spherical particles in closely confining microchannels. *Phys Rev E* 89:062305.

- Skaug MJ, Wang L, Ding YF, Schwartz DK (2015) Hindered nanoparticle diffusion and void accessibility in a three-dimensional porous medium. ACS Nano 9:2148–2156.
- Goel NS, Richter-Dyn N (1974) Stochastic Models in Biology (Academic, New York).
   Hänggi P, Talkner P, Borkovec M (1990) Reaction-rate theory: Fifty years after kramers. Rev Mod Phys 62:251–341.
- Verdel R, Dagdug L, Berezhkovskii AM, Bezrukov SM (2016) Unbiased diffusion in two-dimensional channels with corrugated walls. J Chem Phys 144:084106.

- Eral HB, Oh JM, van den Ende D, Mugele F, Duits MHG (2010) Anisotropic and hindered diffusion of colloidal particles in a closed cylinder. *Langmuir* 26:16722– 16729.
- Cervantes-Martinez AE, Ramirez-Saito A, Armenta-Calderon R, Ojeda-Lopez MA, Arauz-Lara JL (2011) Colloidal diffusion inside a spherical cell. *Phys Rev E* 83: 030402.
- Misiunas K, Pagliara S, Lauga E, Lister JR, Keyser UF (2015) Nondecaying hydrodynamic interactions along narrow channels. *Phys Rev Lett* 115:038301.
- Martens S, Straube AV, Schmid G, Schimansky-Geier L, Hänggi P (2013) Hydrodynamically enforced entropic trapping of Brownian particles. *Phys Rev Lett* 110:010601.
- Hänggi P (1978) Stochastic processes. I. Asymptotic behaviour and symmetries. Helv Phys Acta 51:183–201.
- Sokolov IM (2010) Itô, Stratonovich, Hänggi and all the rest: The thermodynamics of interpretation. *Chem Phys* 375:359–363.
- Farago O, Gronbech-Jensen N (2014) Langevin dynamics in inhomogeneous media: Re-examining the Itô-Stratonovich dilemma. *Phys Rev E* 89:013301.
- Bruti-Liberati N, Platen E (2008) Strong predictor-corrector Euler methods for stochastic differential equations. Stochastics Dyn 8:561–581.
- 55. van Kampen NG (1981) Itô versus Stratonovich. J Stat Phys 24:175-187.

# **Supporting Information**

# Yang et al. 10.1073/pnas.1707815114



**Movie S1.** The supporting video shows a spherical particle diffusing through a narrow neck. The video was rendered at the data acquisition rate (30 frames per second). Fig. 1B shows channel geometric parameters.

Movie S1

DNAS

# **Other Supporting Information Files**

SI Appendix (PDF)

# Supplementary Information Appendix : Hydrodynamic and entropic effects on colloidal diffusion in corrugated channels

Xiang Yang,<sup>1</sup> Chang Liu,<sup>1</sup> Yunyun Li,<sup>2,3</sup> Fabio Marchesoni,<sup>2,4</sup> Peter Hänggi,<sup>5,6</sup> and H. P. Zhang<sup>1,7,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy and Institute of Natural Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China <sup>2</sup>Center for Phononics and Thermal Energy Science,

School of Physics Science and Engineering,

Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

<sup>3</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Special Artificial Microstructure Materials and Technology, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China <sup>4</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Nanosystems Initiative Munich, Schellingstrasse 4, D-80799 Müchen, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, China (Dated: August 13, 2017)

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  To whom correspondence should be addressed: heppng\_zhang@sjtu.edu.cn

# I. FIRST PASSAGE TIME FROM FICK-JACOBS THEORY

Let us consider the generic 1D diffusion equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[-a(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}b(x)\right]p(x,t), \qquad (1)$$

for the probability density function p(x,t). We denote the coordinates of two absorbing boundaries by A and B, and the unconditional First Passage Time (FPT) from any location  $x_0 \in [A, B]$  to either absorbing boundary by  $T(A, B|x_0)$ . Then, the *n*-th moments of the FPT in the region  $[A, B], \langle T^n(A, B|x_0) \rangle$ , satisfy the recursive equation [1],

$$-n\langle T^{n-1}(A,B|x_0)\rangle = a(x_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\langle T^n(A,B|x_0)\rangle + b(x_0)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2}\langle T^n(A,B|x_0)\rangle,$$
(2)

with  $\langle T^0(A, B|x_0) \rangle = 1$  and absorbing boundary conditions

$$\langle T^n(A, B|x_0 = A) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle T^n(A, B|x_0 = B) \rangle = 0.$$
 (3)

Solving Eq. (2) with boundary conditions (3) yields [1],

$$\langle T^n(A, B|x_0) \rangle = n \left[ \int_{x_0}^B \phi(\eta) d\eta \int_A^\eta \frac{\langle T^{n-1}(A, B|\xi) \rangle}{b(\xi)\phi(\xi)} d\xi - \frac{\int_{x_0}^B \phi(\eta) d\eta}{\int_A^B \phi(\eta) d\eta} \int_A^B \phi(\eta) d\eta \int_A^\eta \frac{\langle T^{n-1}(A, B|\xi) \rangle}{b(\xi)\phi(\xi)} d\xi \right], (4)$$

where,

$$\phi(\eta) = \exp\left(\int^{\eta} -\frac{a(\xi)}{b(\xi)}d\xi\right).$$
(5)

Now we specialize this general result to our case. To make contact with the Fick-Jacobs equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(x)\mathbb{D}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{p(x,t)}{g(x)},\tag{6}$$

we set  $b(x) = \mathbb{D}(x)$ ,  $a(x) = \mathbb{D}(x)g'(x)/g(x) + \mathbb{D}'(x)$  and  $\phi(x) = C/[g(x)\mathbb{D}(x)]$  (*C* is an arbitrary constant). In our experiment, g(x) and  $\mathbb{D}(x)$  are even functions under mirror reflection  $x \to -x$  and, therefore, b(x),  $\phi(x)$  are even and a(x) is odd. Owing to these symmetry properties, we can simplify Eq. (4) for the FPT moments from  $x_0$  to  $\pm \Delta x$  as,

$$\langle T^n(\pm\Delta x|x_0)\rangle = n \int_{x_0}^{\Delta x} \phi(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\eta \frac{\langle T^{n-1}(\pm\Delta x|\xi)\rangle}{b(\xi)\phi(\xi)} d\xi.$$
(7)

where  $x_0 \in [0, \Delta x]$ . Substituting here our expressions for a(x), b(x) and  $\phi(x)$ , for the first two moments we obtain,

$$\langle T(\pm \Delta x | x_0) \rangle = \int_{x_0}^{\Delta x} \frac{d\eta}{g(\eta) \mathbb{D}(\eta)} \int_0^{\eta} g(\xi) d\xi, \tag{8}$$



Fig. S1. Schematic diagram of the channel model used in our Brownian dynamics simulation. The fixed particles (located at  $\vec{r_k}$ , separated by  $\sigma/4$ ) which mimic the walls are shown in blue and the diffusing colloidal particle (located at  $\vec{r}$ ) in orange. The quantity  $\sigma$  denotes a characteristic length of the interaction potential (see text). Periodic boundary conditions are imposed at the left and right openings.

$$\langle T^2(\pm\Delta x|x_0)\rangle = 2\int_{x_0}^{\Delta x} \frac{d\eta}{g(\eta)\mathbb{D}(\eta)} \int_0^{\eta} g(\xi) \langle T(\pm\Delta x|\xi)\rangle d\xi, \tag{9}$$

and, finally, setting  $x_0 = 0$ , the corresponding expressions reported in the main text.

# **II. BROWNIAN DYNAMICS SIMULATION**

Motion of the colloidal particle is governed by an overdamped Langevin equation:

$$\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = -\frac{D_{hyd}(x)}{k_B T} \sum_k \nabla U(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_k|) + \vec{\xi}(t), \qquad (10)$$



Fig. S2. Finite-element simulation for a confined sphere: Schematic diagram of the model (A); flow field in the channel as the particle moves in the open region, (B) and through a bottleneck, (C), of the channel. Magnitude and direction of the flow around the particle are represented on a color scale and by white arrows, respectively. Particle's coordinates are  $(x, y) = (0, 0.47)\mu m$  in (b) and  $(x, y) = (6.2, 0.2)\mu m$  in (c). The channel geometry is as in Fig. 1 B.

where  $\vec{\xi}(t)$  is a multiplicative Gaussian white noise with  $\langle \xi_i(t) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \xi_i(t+\tau)\xi_j(t) \rangle = 2D_{hyd}(x)\delta(\tau)\delta_{ij}$  (i, j = x, y). As shown in Fig. S1, the channel boundary is represented by a string of fixed particles, which interact with the colloidal particle via a short-range repulsive force. The corresponding pair potential,  $U(|\vec{r} - \vec{r_k}|)$ , depends on the relative distance between the colloidal  $(\vec{r})$  and k-th wall  $(\vec{r_k})$  particle, that is

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} U_{LJ}(r) - U_{LJ}(1.12\sigma), \ r \le 1.12\sigma \\ 0, \ r > 1.12\sigma \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$U_{LJ}(r) = 4\varepsilon \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6 \right],\tag{12}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  are, respectively, the strength and characteristic length of the Lennard-Jones(LJ) potential  $U_{LJ}(r)$ .

We had recourse to Euler's method to discretize the Langevin equation with a time step dt. Let us consider the motion in the x direction and denote the x component of the force  $-\sum_{k} \nabla U(|x - x_k|)$  by F(x). Integrating the Langevin equation, we have :

$$x(t+dt) = x(t) + \int_{t}^{t+dt} \frac{D_{hyd}(x(s))}{k_{B}T} F(x(s))ds + \int_{t}^{t+dt} \sqrt{2D_{hyd}(x(s))} \eta(s)ds, \qquad (13)$$

where  $\eta(s)$  is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and unit variance. For thermodynamic consistency, we used the transport (also known as kinetic or isothermal) convention [2–4] (see also Sections 2.4 and 6.2 in [5]) to calculate the stochastic integral [4, 6]:  $\int_{t}^{t+dt} \sqrt{2D_{hyd}(x(s))} \eta(s) ds = \sqrt{2D_{hyd}(x(t+dt))} dt \eta_0(t)$ , where  $\eta_0(t)$  is a Gaussian random number with unit variance. With the transport convention, the right-hand side of Eq. (13) contains the post-point value, i.e., x(t+dt), and we used a predictor-corrector scheme [7] to compute this quantity:

$$x^*(t+dt) = x(t) + \frac{D_{hyd}(x(t))}{k_B T} F(x(t))dt + \sqrt{2D_{hyd}(x(t))dt} \ \eta_0(t).$$
(14)

Substituting Eq. (14) into the right-hand side of Eq. (13), we have

$$x(t+dt) = x(t) + \frac{D_{hyd}(x^*(t+dt))}{k_B T} F(x^*(t+dt))dt + \sqrt{2D_{hyd}(x^*(t+dt))dt} \eta_0(t).$$
(15)

The discretized equation in the y direction has a similar form as Eq. (15). Our numerical scheme produces uniformly distributed Brownian particles under the condition of a spatially varying diffusivity, which validates the scheme.

We used a time step dt = 0.2 ms, while for  $D_{hyd}(x)$  we made use of the experimentally measured diffusivity function. In addition, we set  $\sigma = 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$  and  $\varepsilon = 2k_B T$  in the potential  $U_{LJ}(r)$ , and located the wall particle  $\vec{r}_k$ , so that the space accessible to the diffusing colloidal particle was same as that in experiments. If we nondimensionalize length, time, and energy, respectively, by L,  $\frac{L^2}{D_0}$  and  $k_B T$ , the dimensionless parameters are  $\sigma = 8 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $dt = 4 \times 10^{-7}$ , and  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Particle trajectories from simulations were analyzed in the same way as its experimental counterpart to determine the relevant FPT's.

# **III. FINITE-ELEMENT CALCULATION**

We employed a finite-element package (COMSOL Multiphysics v5.1) to compute the drag force on a moving sphere in a confined channel. The Stokes equations were solved in a domain shown in Fig. S2 A. No-slip boundary conditions are imposed on the side walls,



Fig. S3. (A) Mean-squared displacement  $\langle \delta x^2(x,y) \rangle$  vs.  $\delta t$  at different x along the center line of the channel (y = 0). Linear fits are used to extract  $D_x(x,0)$ ; (B) Probability distributions of  $\delta x$  at time  $\delta t = 0.2$  s fitted by Gaussian functions (solid curves) of corresponding variance  $\langle \delta x^2(x,y) \rangle$ . The channel parameters are the same as in Fig. 2 B.

floor and ceiling (not shown), while open boundary conditions are adopted for the channel openings. The geometry of the side wall is set to reproduce the inner channel boundary measured in the experiments [see insert of Fig. 1 A]; the distance between the floor and ceiling is  $2.5\mu$ m. The sphere was made to move with a constant x-velocity,  $v_x = 1\mu$ m/s, at different locations in a horizontal plane, a distance  $1.25\mu$ m over the floor. We used about half million elements in the simulation to ensure convergence. The same numerical method was followed to solve the problem of a sphere moving in a long cylinder; here, the computed drag force on the sphere deviates by less than 5% from the analytical predictions.

At any given point in the plane  $z = 1.25\mu$ m, we first computed the drag force  $f_x$ , then the hydrodynamic friction coefficient in the x direction,  $\gamma(x, y) = f_x/v_x$ , and, finally, through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, the diffusion coefficient,  $D(x, y) = k_B T/\gamma(x, y)$ , which assumes the off-diagonal element of the hydrodynamic friction tensor is small. This assumption holds throughout the computational domain except in regions very close to sloping boundaries and leads to a small error, less than 2%, in computed  $D_{hyd}$  plotted in Fig. 4 C.

# IV. LOCAL DIFFUSIVITY MEASUREMENTS

Hydrodynamic interactions with boundaries cause the diffusion coefficient to change spatially inside the channel. We measured the local diffusion coefficient through the meansquared displacement law,  $\langle \delta x^2(x,y) \rangle = 2D(x,y)\delta t$ . As shown in the example of Fig. S3 A, for  $\delta t < 0.2$  s, the mean-squared displacements at three locations increase linearly with time, which allow us to determine the local value of D(x,y). In Fig. S2 B, we plotted the corresponding probability distributions of  $\delta x$  at time  $\delta t = 0.2$  s. All distributions are fitted by a Gaussian function with the relevant variance  $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle$ . The choice  $\delta t = 0.2$  s is optimal for our purpose: it is long enough to yield D(x,y) measurements with a high signal-to-noise ratio, but also so short that the particle displacement is limited and spatial heterogeneity due to channel's corrugation negligible.

- Goel, N. S. & Richter-Dyn, N. Stochastic Models in Biology (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1974).
- [2] Farago, O. & Gronbech-Jensen, N. Langevin dynamics in inhomogeneous media: Re- examining the Itô-Stratonovich dilemma. *Phys. Rev. E* 89, 013301 (2014).
- [3] Sokolov, I. M. Itô, Stratonovich, Hänggi and all the rest: The Thermodynamics of interpretation. Chem. Phys. 375, 359–363 (2010).
- [4] Hänggi, P. Stochastic processes. I. Asymptotic behaviour and symmetries. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 51, 183–201 (1978).
- [5] Hänggi, P. & Thomas, H. Stochastic processes: time evolution, symmetries and linear response. *Phys. Rep.* 88, 207–319 (1982).
- [6] van Kampen, N. G. Itô versus Stratonovich. J. Stat. Phys. 24, 175–187 (1981).
- [7] Bruti-Liberati, N. & Platen, E. Strong predictor-corrector Euler methods for stochastic differential equations. *Stochastics and Dynamics* 8, 561–581 (2008).