A NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH STIFF COLLISION TERMS

FRANCIS FILBET, JINGWEI HU, AND SHI JIN

ABSTRACT. Numerically solving the Boltzmann kinetic equations with the small Knudsen number is challenging due to the stiff nonlinear collision terms. A class of asymptotic preserving schemes was introduced in [6] to handle this kind of problems. The idea is to penalize the stiff collision term by a BGK type operator. This method, however, encounters its own difficulty when applied to the quantum Boltzmann equation. To define the quantum Maxwellian (Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac distribution) at each time step and every mesh point, one has to invert a nonlinear equation that connects the macroscopic quantity fugacity with density and internal energy. Setting a good initial guess for the iterative method is troublesome in most cases because of the complexity of the quantum functions (Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac function). In this paper, we propose to penalize the quantum collision term by a 'classical' BGK operator instead of the quantum one. This is based on the observation that the classical Maxwellian, with the temperature replaced by the internal energy, has the same first five moments as the quantum Maxwellian. The scheme so designed avoids the aforementioned difficulty, and one can show that the density distribution is still driven toward the quantum equilibrium. Numerical results are presented to illustrate the efficiency of the new scheme in both the hydrodynamic and kinetic regimes. We also develop a spectral method for the quantum collision operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

The quantum Boltzmann equation (QBE), also known as the Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation, describes the behavior of a dilute quantum gas. It was first formulated by Nordheim [17] and Uehling and Uhlenbeck [21] from the classical Boltzmann equation by heuristic arguments. Here we mainly consider two kinds of quantum gases: the Bose gas and the Fermi gas. The Bose gas is composed of bosons, which have an integer value of spin, and obey the Bose-Einstein statistics. The Fermi gas is composed of fermions, which have half-integer spins and obey the Fermi-Dirac statistics.

Let $f(t, x, v) \ge 0$ be the phase space distribution function depending on time t, position x, and particle velocity v, then the quantum Boltzmann equation reads:

(1.1)
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{Q}_q(f), \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}.$$

Here ϵ is the Knudsen number which measures the degree of rarefaction of the gas. It is the ratio of the mean free path and the typical length scale. The quantum collision operator Q_q is

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{Q}_q(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_v-1}} B(v-v_*,\omega) \left[f'f'_*(1\pm\theta_0 f)(1\pm\theta_0 f_*) - ff_*(1\pm\theta_0 f')(1\pm\theta_0 f'_*) \right] d\omega dv_*,$$

where $\theta_0 = \hbar^{d_v}$, \hbar is the rescaled Planck constant. In this paper, the upper sign will always correspond to the Bose gas while the lower sign to the Fermi gas. For the Fermi gas, we also need $f \leq \frac{1}{\theta_0}$ by the Pauli exclusion principle. f, f_*, f' , and f'_* are the shorthand notations for $f(t, x, v), f(t, x, v_*)$, f(t, x, v'), and $f(t, x, v'_*)$ respectively. (v, v_*) and (v', v'_*) are the velocities before and after collision. They are related by the following parametrization:

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\omega, \\ v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\omega, \end{cases}$$

This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0608720 and NSF FRG grant DMS-0757285. FF was also supported by the ERC Starting Grant Project NuSiKiMo, project 239983-NuSiKiMo. SJ was also supported by a Van Vleck Distinguished Research Prize and a Vilas Associate Award from the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

where ω is the unit vector along $v' - v'_*$. The collision kernel *B* is a nonnegative function that only depends on $|v - v_*|$ and $\cos \theta$ (θ is the angle between ω and $v - v_*$). In the Variable Hard Sphere (VHS) model, it is given by

(1.4)
$$B(v - v_*, \omega) = C_{\gamma} |v - v_*|^{\gamma},$$

where C_{γ} is a positive constant. $\gamma = 0$ corresponds to the Maxwellian molecules, $\gamma = 1$ is the hard sphere model.

When the Knudsen number ϵ is small, the right hand side of equation (1.1) becomes stiff and explicit schemes are subject to severe stability constraints. Implicit schemes allow larger time step, but new difficulty arises in seeking the numerical solution of a fully nonlinear problem at each time step. Ideally, one wants an implicit scheme allowing large time steps and can be inverted easily. In [6], for the classical Boltzmann equation, Filbet and Jin proposed to penalize the nonlinear collision operator Q_c by a BGK operator:

(1.5)
$$Q_c = [Q_c - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c - f)] + \lambda[\mathcal{M}_c - f],$$

where λ is a constant that depends on the spectral radius of the linearized collision operator of Q_c around the local (classical) Maxwellian \mathcal{M}_c . Now terms in the first bracket on the right hand side of (1.5) is less stiff than the second one and can be treated explicitly. Terms in the second bracket will be discretized implicitly. Using the conservation property of the BGK operator, this implicit term can actually be solved explicitly. Thus they arrive at a scheme which is uniformly stable in ϵ , with an implicit source term that can be inverted explicitly. Furthermore, under certain conditions, one could show that this type of schemes has the following property: the distance between f and the (classical) Maxwellian will be $O(\epsilon)$ after several time steps, no matter what the initial condition is. This guarantees the capturing of the fluid dynamic limit even if the time step is larger than the mean free time.

Back to the quantum Boltzmann equation (1.1), a natural way to generalize the above idea is to penalize Q_q with the quantum BGK operator $\mathcal{M}_q - f$. This means we have to invert a nonlinear algebraic system that contains the unknown quantum Maxwellian \mathcal{M}_q (Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac distribution) for every time step. As mentioned in [9], this is not a trivial task compared to the classical case. Specifically, one has to invert a nonlinear 2 by 2 system (can be reduced to one nonlinear equation) to obtain the macroscopic quantities, temperature and fugacity. Due to the complexity of the quantum functions (Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac function), it is really a delicate issue to set a good initial guess for an iterative method such as Newton's method to converge.

In this work we propose a new scheme for the quantum Boltzmann equation. Our idea is based on the observation that the classical Maxwellian, with the temperature replaced by the (quantum) internal energy, has the same first five moments as the quantum Maxwellian. This observation was used in [9] to derive a 'classical' kinetic scheme for the quantum hydrodynamical equations. Therefore, we just penalize the quantum collision operator Q_q by a 'classical' BGK operator, thus avoid the aforementioned difficulty. At the same time, we have to sacrifice a little bit on the asymptotic property. Later we will prove that for the quantum BGK equation, the so obtained f satisfies:

(1.6)
$$f^n - \mathcal{M}_q^n = O(\Delta t)$$
 for some $n > N$, any initial data f^0 .

i.e. f will converge to the quantum Maxwellian beyond the initial layer within an error of $O(\Delta t)$.

Another numerical issue is how to evaluate the quantum collision operator Q_q . In fact (1.2) can be simplified as

(1.7)
$$\mathcal{Q}_q(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_v-1}} B(v-v_*,\omega) \left[f'f'_*(1\pm\theta_0f\pm\theta_0f_*) - ff_*(1\pm\theta_0f'\pm\theta_0f'_*) \right] d\omega dv_*,$$

so Q_q is indeed a cubic operator. Almost all the current fast algorithms are designed for the classical Boltzmann operator based on its quadratic structure. Here we give a spectral method for the approximation of Q_q . As far as we know, this is the first time to compute the full quantum Boltzmann collision operator with the spectral accuracy. For the spatially homogeneous quantum Boltzmann equation in the energy space, we mention the work [15] by Markowich and Pareschi, where a fast algorithm was proposed and applied also to the difficult situation of the Bose-Einstein condensation.

During the reviewing process of this article, we developed a fast algorithm for the quantum Boltzmann collision operator (1.2) following the framework of the spectral method presented here. More details and extensive numerical results can be found in [10].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we summarize the basic properties of the quantum Boltzmann equation. In Section 3, we give the details of computing the quantum collision operator by the spectral method. Our new scheme for the quantum Boltzmann equation with stiff collision terms is presented in Section 4. In Section 5, the proposed schemes are tested on the 1-D shock tube problem of the quantum gas for different Knudsen number ϵ ranging from fluid regime to kinetic regime. The behavior of the Bose gas and the Fermi gas in both the classical regime and quantum regime are included. Finally some concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2. The quantum Boltzmann equation and its hydrodynamic limit

In this section we review some basic facts about the quantum Boltzmann equation (1.1).

• At the formal level, Q_q conserves mass, momentum, and energy:

(2.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \mathcal{Q}_q(f) \, dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \mathcal{Q}_q(f) v \, dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \mathcal{Q}_q(f) |v|^2 \, dv = 0.$$

• If f is a solution of QBE (1.1), the following local conservation laws hold:

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} f \, dv + \nabla_x \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} v f \, dv = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} v f \, dv + \nabla_x \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} v \otimes v f \, dv = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f \, dv + \nabla_x \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} v \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f \, dv = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define the macroscopic quantities: density ρ , macroscopic velocity u, specific internal energy e as

(2.3)
$$\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} f \, dv, \quad \rho u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} v f \, dv, \quad \rho e = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \frac{1}{2} |v - u|^2 f \, dv$$

and stress tensor $\mathbb P$ and heat flux q

(2.4)
$$\mathbb{P} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} (v - u) \otimes (v - u) f \, dv, \quad q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \frac{1}{2} (v - u) |v - u|^2 f \, dv,$$

the above system can then be recast as

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u + \mathbb{P}) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho e + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\left(\rho e + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) u + \mathbb{P} u + q \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

• \mathcal{Q}_q satisfies the Boltzmann's H-Theorem,

(2.6)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \ln\left(\frac{f}{1\pm\theta_0 f}\right) \mathcal{Q}_q(f) \, dv \le 0.$$

moreover,

(2.7)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \ln\left(\frac{f}{1\pm\theta_0 f}\right) \mathcal{Q}_q(f) \, dv = 0 \iff \mathcal{Q}_q(f) = 0 \iff f = \mathcal{M}_q$$

where \mathcal{M}_q is the quantum Maxwellian given by

(2.8)
$$\mathcal{M}_q = \frac{1}{\theta_0} \frac{1}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{(v-u)^2}{2T}} \mp 1},$$

where z is the fugacity, T is the temperature (see [9] for more details about the derivation of \mathcal{M}_q). (2.8) is the well-known Bose-Einstein ('-') and Fermi-Dirac ('+') distributions.

2.1. The hydrodynamic limit. Substituting \mathcal{M}_q into (2.3) (2.4), one can close the system (2.5) and get the quantum Euler equations:

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\rho u \otimes u + \frac{2}{d_v} \rho eI\right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho e + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2\right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\left(\frac{d_v + 2}{d_v} \rho e + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2\right) u\right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With the macroscopic variables ρ , u, and e, they are exactly the same as the classical Euler equations! However, the intrinsic constitutive relation is quite different. ρ and e are connected with T and z (appeared in the definition of \mathcal{M}_q (2.8)) by a nonlinear 2 by 2 system:

(2.10)
$$\begin{cases} \rho = \frac{(2\pi T)^{\frac{d_v}{2}}}{\theta_0} Q_{\frac{d_v}{2}}(z), \\ e = \frac{d_v}{2} T \frac{Q_{\frac{d_v+2}{2}}(z)}{Q_{\frac{d_v}{2}}(z)}, \end{cases}$$

where $Q_{\nu}(z)$ denotes the Bose-Einstein function $G_{\nu}(z)$ and the Fermi-Dirac function $F_{\nu}(z)$ respectively,

(2.11)
$$G_{\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\nu-1}}{z^{-1}e^{x}-1} dx, \quad 0 < z < 1, \ \nu > 0; \ z = 1, \ \nu > 1$$

(2.12)
$$F_{\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\nu-1}}{z^{-1}e^x + 1} \, dx, \quad 0 < z < \infty, \ \nu > 0,$$

with $\Gamma(\nu) = \int_0^\infty x^{\nu-1} e^{-x} dx$ being the Gamma function.

The physical range of interest for a Bose gas is $0 < z \leq 1$, with z = 1 corresponding to the degenerate case (the onset of Bose-Einstein condensation). z could be any positive real number for a Fermi gas and the degenerate case is reached when z is very large. For small z (0 < z < 1), the integrand in (2.11) and (2.12) can be expanded in powers of z,

(2.13)
$$G_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^{\nu}} = z + \frac{z^2}{2^{\nu}} + \frac{z^3}{3^{\nu}} + \dots,$$

(2.14)
$$F_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{z^n}{n^{\nu}} = z - \frac{z^2}{2^{\nu}} + \frac{z^3}{3^{\nu}} - \dots$$

Thus, for $z \ll 1$, both functions behave like z itself and one recovers the classical limit.

On the other hand, the first equation of (2.10) can be written as

(2.15)
$$Q_{\frac{d_v}{2}}(z) = \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{d_v}{2}}}\theta_0,$$

where $\frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{d_{\nu}}{2}}}$ is just the coefficient of the classical Maxwellian, which should be an O(1) quantity. Now if $\theta_0 \to 0$, then $Q_{\frac{d_{\nu}}{2}}(z) \to 0$, which implies $z \ll 1$ by the monotonicity of the function Q_{ν} . This is consistent with the fact that one gets the classical Boltzmann equation in QBE (1.1) by letting $\theta_0 \to 0$.

The quantum Euler equations (2.9) can be derived via the Chapman-Enskog expansion [3] as the leading order approximation of the quantum Boltzmann equation. By going to the next order, one can also obtain the quantum Navier-Stokes system which differs from their classical counterparts. In particular, the viscosity coefficient and the heat conductivity depend upon both ρ and e [1].

A NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH STIFF COLLISION TERMS 5

3. Computing the quantum collision operator \mathcal{Q}_q

In this section, we discuss the approximation of the quantum collision operator Q_q . The method we use is an extension of the spectral method introduced in [16, 7] for the classical collision operator.

We first write (1.2) as

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{Q}_q = \mathcal{Q}_c \pm \theta_0 (\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2 - \mathcal{Q}_3 - \mathcal{Q}_4),$$

where

(3.2)
$$\mathcal{Q}_c(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_v}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_v-1}} B(v-v_*,\omega) [f'f'_* - ff_*] \, d\omega dv$$

is the classical collision operator. The cubic terms $\mathcal{Q}_1 - \mathcal{Q}_4$ are

(3.3)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{v}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_{v-1}}} B(v - v_{*}, \omega) f' f'_{*} f_{*} d\omega dv, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{2}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{v}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_{v-1}}} B(v - v_{*}, \omega) f' f'_{*} f d\omega dv, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{3}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{v}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_{v-1}}} B(v - v_{*}, \omega) f f_{*} f' d\omega dv, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{4}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{v}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d_{v-1}}} B(v - v_{*}, \omega) f f_{*} f'_{*} d\omega dv. \end{cases}$$

In order to perform the Fourier transform, we periodize the function f on the domain $\mathcal{D}_L = [-L, L]^{d_v}$ (L is chosen such that $L \geq \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{2}R$, R is the truncation of the collision integral. Here we take R = S, where \mathcal{B}_S is an approximation of the support of f in v [18]). Using the Carleman representation [2], one can rewrite the operators as (for simplicity we only consider the 2-D Maxwellian molecules),

(3.4)
$$\mathcal{Q}_c(f)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} \delta(x \cdot y) [f(v+x)f(v+y) - f(v+x+y)f(v)] \, dx dy$$

and

(3.5)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \delta(x \cdot y) f(v + x) f(v + y) f(v + x + y) \, dx dy, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{2}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \delta(x \cdot y) f(v + x) f(v + y) f(v) \, dx dy, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{3}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \delta(x \cdot y) f(v + x) f(v + x + y) f(v) \, dx dy, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{4}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \delta(x \cdot y) f(v + y) f(v + x + y) f(v) \, dx dy. \end{cases}$$

Now we approximate f by a truncated Fourier series,

(3.6)
$$f(v) \approx \sum_{k=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \hat{f}_k e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}k \cdot v}, \quad \hat{f}_k = \frac{1}{(2L)^{d_v}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_L} f(v) e^{-i\frac{\pi}{L}k \cdot v} \, dv.$$

Plugging it into (3.4) (3.5), one can get the k-th mode of \hat{Q}_q . The classical part was already treated in [16]. We will mainly focus on the cubic terms.

Define the kernel modes

(3.7)
$$\beta(l,m) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} \delta(x \cdot y) e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}l \cdot x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}m \cdot y} dx dy.$$

Following [16], $\beta(l, m)$ can be decomposed as

(3.8)
$$\beta(l,m) = \frac{\pi}{M} \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \alpha_p(l) \alpha'_p(m)$$

with

(3.9)
$$\alpha_p(l) = \phi(l \cdot (\cos \theta_p, \sin \theta_p)), \quad \alpha'_p(m) = \phi(m \cdot (-\sin \theta_p, \cos \theta_p)),$$

where $\phi(s) = \frac{2L}{\pi s} \sin(\frac{\pi}{L} R s)$, M is the number of equally spaced points in $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\theta_p = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{p}{M}$. Then • The k-th coefficient of \hat{Q}_1 is

Terms inside the bracket is a convolution (defined as $\hat{g}_{k-n}(n)$), which can be computed by the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). However, the outside structure is not a convolution, since $\hat{g}_{k-n}(n)$ itself depends on n. So we compute this part directly.

• The k-th coefficient of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ is

(3.11)
$$\sum_{\substack{l,m,n=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m+n=k}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \beta(l,m) \hat{f}_l \hat{f}_m \hat{f}_n = \frac{\pi}{M} \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\substack{n=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m=k-n}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \left[\sum_{\substack{l,m=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m=k-n}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \alpha_p(l) \alpha'_p(m) \hat{f}_l \hat{f}_m \right] \hat{f}_n.$$

In this case, both inside and outside are convolutions. The FFT can be implemented easily. • The k-th coefficient of \hat{Q}_3 is

(3.12)
$$\sum_{\substack{l,m,n=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m+n=k}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \beta(l+m,m)\hat{f}_l\hat{f}_m\hat{f}_n = \frac{\pi}{M} \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \alpha_p(k-n) \left[\sum_{\substack{l,m=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m=k-n}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \alpha'_p(m)\hat{f}_l\hat{f}_m \right] \hat{f}_n.$$

Factoring out $\alpha_p(l+m)$, both inside and outside are convolutions again.

• The k-th coefficient of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_4$ is

(3.13)
$$\sum_{\substack{l,m,n=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m+n=k}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \beta(m,l+m)\hat{f}_l\hat{f}_m\hat{f}_n = \frac{\pi}{M} \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \alpha'_p(k-n) \left[\sum_{\substack{l,m=-\frac{N}{2}\\l+m=k-n}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \alpha_p(m)\hat{f}_l\hat{f}_m \right] \hat{f}_n$$

This term can be evaluated similarly as \hat{Q}_3 .

Remark 3.1. The computational cost of this quantum solver is $O(MN^4 \log N)$, which mainly comes from computing Q_1 . This cost is higher than $O(MN^4)$ of a direct product quadrature formula, like in discrete velocity models. But taking into account the high accuracy and small value of $\log N$ (N is not very big in the real simulation), our method is still more attractive than the quadrature method.

3.1. Numerical Accuracy. To illustrate the accuracy of the above method, we test it on a steady state, namely, we compute $Q_q(\mathcal{M}_q)$ and check its max norm. In all the numerical simulations, the particles are assumed to be the 2-D Maxwellian molecules.

Let $\rho = 1$, T = 1, from (2.10) one can adjust θ_0 to get z that lies in different physical regimes. When $\theta_0 = 0.01$ ($\hbar = 0.1$), $z_{\text{Bose}} = 0.001590$, $z_{\text{Fermi}} = 0.001593$. In this situation, the quantum effect is very small. The Maxwellians for the Bose gas, classical gas and Fermi gas are almost the same (Fig. 1). When we increase θ_0 , say $\theta_0 = 9$ ($\hbar = 3$), $z_{\text{Bose}} = 0.761263$, $z_{\text{Fermi}} = 3.188717$, the difference between the quantum gases and the classical gas is evident (Fig. 2).





FIGURE 1. The Maxwellians at $\rho = 1$, T = 1, $\theta_0 = 0.01$. Left: Bose gas; center: classical gas; right: Fermi gas.



FIGURE 2. The Maxwellians at $\rho = 1$, T = 1, $\theta_0 = 9$. Left: Bose gas; center: classical gas (same as in Fig. 1); right: Fermi gas.

In Table 1, we list the values of $\|\mathcal{Q}_c(\mathcal{M}_c)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ and $\|\mathcal{Q}_q(\mathcal{M}_q)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ computed on different meshes N = 16, 32, 64 (number of points in v direction), M = 4 (number of points in angular direction θ_p ; it is not necessary to put too many points since M won't effect the spectral accuracy, see [16]). The computational domain is taken as $[-8, 8] \times [-8, 8]$ (L = 8).

These results confirm the spectral accuracy of the method, although the accuracy in the quantum regime is not as good as that in the classical regime. This is because the regularity of the quantum Maxwellians becomes worse when θ_0 is increasing, or strictly speaking, the mesh size Δv is not small enough to capture the shape of the Maxwellians. To remedy this problem, one can add more grid points or more effectively, shorten the computational domain. For the Bose-Einstein distribution, we also include the results computed on $[-6, 6] \times [-6, 6]$ in Table 1. One can clearly see the improvements.

		16×16	32×32	64×64	convergence rate
classical gas		2.1746e-04	3.8063e-12	1.9095e-16	20.0253
Bose gas	$\theta_0 = 0.01$	2.1084e-04	2.5512e-10	1.9080e-16	20.0036
	$\theta_0 = 9$	0.4891	0.0310	1.3496e-04	5.9117
	$\theta_0 = 9, L = 6$	0.1815	0.0052	4.0278e-06	7.7298
Fermi gas	$\theta_0 = 0.01$	2.2397e-04	1.6485e-10	1.9152e-16	20.0445
	$\theta_0 = 9$	8.9338e-04	2.0192e-06	1.5962e-10	11.2081

TABLE 1. Comparison of the quantum collision solver on different Maxwellians (L = 8 unless specified).

3.2. Relaxation to equilibrium. Let us consider the spatially homogeneous quantum Boltzmann equation for the 2-D Maxwellian molecules. As already mentioned, this equation satisfies the entropy condition, and the equilibrium states are the entropy minimizers. Hence, we first consider the quantum Boltzmann equation for a Fermi gas with an initial datum $0 \le f_0 \le \frac{1}{\theta_0}$ and observe the relaxation to equilibrium of the distribution function. Then, we take a Bose gas for which the entropy is now sublinear and fails to prevent concentration, which is consistent with the fact that condensation may occur in the long-time limit.

3.2.1. Fermi gas. The initial data is chosen as the sum of two Maxwellian functions

(3.14)
$$f_0(v) = \exp\left(-\frac{|v-v_1|^2}{2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|v+v_1|^2}{2}\right); \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with $v_1 = (2, 1)$. The final time of the simulation is $T_{end} = 0.5$, which is very close to the stationary state.

In the spatially homogeneous setting, Pauli's exclusion principle facilitates things because of the additional L^{∞} bound $0 \leq f(t) \leq \frac{1}{\theta_0}$. In this case, the convergence to equilibrium in a weak sense has been shown by Lu [13]. Later Lu and Wennberg proved the strong L^1 stability [14]. However, no constructive result in this direction has ever been obtained, neither has any entropy-dissipation inequality been established.

In Fig. 3 we report the time evolution of the entropy and the fourth and sixth order moments of the distribution with respect to the velocity variable. We indeed observe the convergence to a steady state of the entropy and also of high order moments when $t \to \infty$.



FIGURE 3. Fermi gas. Time evolution of the entropy, fourth and sixth order moments.

In Fig. 4 we also report the time evolution of the level set of the distribution function $f(t, v_x, v_y)$ obtained with N = 64 modes at different times. Initially the level set of the initial data corresponds to two spheres in the velocity space. Then, the two distributions start to mix together until the stationary state is reached, represented by a single centered sphere. It is clear that the spherical shapes of the level sets are described with great accuracy by the spectral method.



A NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH STIFF COLLISION TERMS 9

FIGURE 4. Fermi gas. Time evolution of the distribution function $f(t, v_x, v_y)$ with N = 64 modes at times t = 0, 0.02, 0.04, and 0.5.

3.2.2. Bose gas. This is an even more challenging problem since there is no convergence result, due to the lack of a priori bound. Lu [12] has attacked this problem with the well-developed tools of the modern spatially homogeneous theory and proved that the solution (with a very low temperature) converges to equilibrium in a weak sense. In [5], the authors studied an one dimensional model and proved existence theorems, and convergence to a Bose distribution having a singularity when time goes to infinity because Bose condensation cannot occur in finite time.

Here we investigate the convergence to equilibrium for space homogeneous model in 2-D, for which condensation cannot occur. We consider the following initial datum

(3.15)
$$f_0(v) = \frac{1}{4\pi T_0} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{|v-v_1|^2}{2T_0}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|v+v_1|^2}{2T_0}\right) \right); \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with $v_1 = (1, 1/2)$ and $T_0 = 1/4$.

We still observe the convergence to equilibrium and convergence of high order moments when $t \to \infty$ in Fig. 5.

In Fig. 6 we report the time evolution of the level set of the distribution function $f(t, v_x, v_y)$ obtained with N = 64 modes at different times and observe the trend to equilibrium.

4. AN EFFICIENT NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION

So far we have only considered spatially homogeneous quantum Boltzmann equation, now what happens for spatially inhomogeneous data? Due to the natural bound $0 \le f(t) \le \frac{1}{\theta_0}$, the Boltzmann-Fermi model seems to be well understood mathematically [22]. The situation is completely different for the Boltzmann-Bose model, since singular measures may occur [22].



FIGURE 5. Bose gas. Time evolution of the entropy, fourth and sixth order moments.



FIGURE 6. Bose gas. Time evolution of the distribution function $f(t, v_x, v_y)$ with N = 64 modes at times t = 0, 0.02, 0.04, and 0.5.

We first describe the asymptotic preserving (AP) scheme in [6] for the classical Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{Q}_c(f), \\ f(t=0) = f_0. \end{cases}$$

A NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH STIFF COLLISION TERMS 11

The first-order scheme reads:

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{f^{n+1} - f^n}{\Delta t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^n = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_c(f^n) - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^n - f^n)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} - f^{n+1})}{\epsilon}, \\ f^0 = f_0, \end{cases}$$

where λ is some appropriate approximation of $|\nabla Q_c|$ (can be made time-dependent). To solve f^{n+1} explicitly, we need to compute \mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} first. Since the right hand side of (4.1) is conservative, it vanishes when we take the moments (multiply by $\phi(v) = (1, v, \frac{1}{2}v^2)^T$ and integrate with respect to v). Then (4.1) becomes

(4.2)
$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\Delta t} + \int \phi(v) \, v \cdot \nabla_x f^n \, dv = 0,$$

where $U = (\rho, \rho u, \rho e + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2)^T$ is the conserved quantities. Once we get U^{n+1} , \mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} is known. Then f^{n+1} in (4.1) is easy to obtain.

When generalizing the above idea to the quantum Boltzmann equation (1.1), a natural way is to replace Q_c and \mathcal{M}_c in (4.1) by Q_q and \mathcal{M}_q respectively. However, as mentioned in Section 2, one has to invert the nonlinear system (2.10) to get z and T in order to define \mathcal{M}_q . Numerical experiments show that the iterative methods do converge when the initial guess is close to the solution (analytically, this system has a solution [1]). But how to set a good initial guess for every spatial point and every time step is not an easy task, especially when ρ and e are discontinuous.

Here we propose to use a 'classical' BGK operator to penalize Q_q . Specifically, we replace the temperature T with the internal energy e in the classical Maxwellian using relation $e = \frac{d_v}{2}T$ (true for classical monatomic gases) and get

(4.3)
$$\mathcal{M}_{c} = \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{d_{v}}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(v-u)^{2}}{2T}} = \rho \left(\frac{d_{v}}{4\pi e}\right)^{\frac{d_{v}}{2}} e^{-\frac{d_{v}}{4e}(v-u)^{2}}.$$

An important property of \mathcal{M}_c is that it has the same first $(d_v + 2)$ moments as \mathcal{M}_q .

Now our new scheme for the QBE (1.1) can be written as

(4.4)
$$\frac{f^{n+1} - f^n}{\Delta t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^n = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_q(f^n) - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^n - f^n)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} - f^{n+1})}{\epsilon}$$

Since the right hand side is still conservative, one computes \mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} the same as in (4.1).

It is important to notice that z and T are not present at all in this new scheme, thus one does not need to invert the 2 by 2 system (2.10) during the time evolution. If they are desired variables for output, we only need to convert between ρ , e and z, T at the final time.

4.1. The asymptotic property of the new scheme. In this subsection we show that the new scheme, when applied to the quantum BGK equation, has the property (1.6). Consider the following time discretization:

(4.5)
$$\frac{f^{n+1} - f^n}{\Delta t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^n = \frac{(\mathcal{M}_q^n - f^n) - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^n - f^n)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} - f^{n+1})}{\epsilon}.$$

Some simple mathematical manipulation on (4.5) gives

(4.6)
$$f^{n+1} - \mathcal{M}_q^{n+1} = \frac{1 + (\lambda - 1)\frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}} (f^n - \mathcal{M}_q^n) - \frac{\Delta t}{1 + \lambda \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}} v \cdot \nabla_x f^r + (\mathcal{M}_q^n - \mathcal{M}_q^{n+1}) + \frac{\lambda \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}} (\mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} - \mathcal{M}_c^n).$$

Assume all the functions are smooth, then if $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$,

(4.7)
$$|f^{n+1} - \mathcal{M}_q^{n+1}| \le \alpha |f^n - \mathcal{M}_q^n| + O(\epsilon + \Delta t),$$

where $0 < \alpha = |1 + (\lambda - 1)\frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}|/|1 + \lambda \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}| < 1$ is uniformly in ϵ and Δt . The $O(\epsilon)$ term comes from the second term of the right of (4.6). The $O(\Delta t)$ term comes from the third and fourth terms. Iteratively, one has

(4.8)
$$|f^n - \mathcal{M}_q^n| \le \alpha^n |f^0 - \mathcal{M}_q^0| + O(\epsilon + \Delta t).$$

Since Δt is usually taken bigger than ϵ , this implies the property (1.6). It is interesting to point out that f approaches \mathcal{M}_q , not \mathcal{M}_c , with (4.5).

Remark 4.1. Observe that in the present method there is an additional error term compared to the one proposed for the classical Boltzmann equation [6] due to the correction between the quantum and classical Maxwellians.

Remark 4.2. The first order (in-time) method can be extended to second order by an Implicit-Explicit (IMEX) method [19, 6]:

(4.9)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{f^* - f^n}{\Delta t/2} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^n = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_q(f^n) - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^n - f^n)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^* - f^*)}{\epsilon}, \\ \frac{f^{n+1} - f^n}{\Delta t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^* = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_q(f^*) - \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^* - f^*)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^n - f^n) + \lambda(\mathcal{M}_c^{n+1} - f^{n+1})}{2\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

This scheme can be shown to have the same property (1.6) on the quantum BGK equation. Another way to extend to second order with AP property is to use back-differentiation method (BDF) for the convection term, as was suggested in [8].

On the other hand, an efficient way to construct asymptotic preserving schemes would consist in applying exponential Runge-Kutta time discretizations. Such schemes have been recently proposed in this framework for Boltzmann equations [4].

5. Numerical examples

In this section, we present some numerical results of our new scheme (4.4) (a second order finite volume method with slope limiters [11] is applied to the transport part) on the 1-D shock tube problem. The initial condition is

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} (\rho_l, u_l, T_l) = (1, 0, 1) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 0.5, \\ (\rho_r, u_r, T_r) = (0.125, 0, 0.25) & \text{if } 0.5 < x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

The particles are assumed to be the 2-D Maxwellian molecules and we adjust θ_0 to get different initial data for both the Bose gas and the Fermi gas.

In all the regimes, besides the directly computed macroscopic quantities, we will show the fugacity z and temperature T as well. They are computed as follows. First, (2.10) leads to $(d_v = 2)$

(5.2)
$$\frac{Q_1^2(z)}{Q_2(z)} = \frac{\theta_0}{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{e}$$

We treat the left hand side of (5.2) as one function of z, and invert it by the secant method. Once z is obtained, T can be computed easily using for example the first equation of (2.10). To evaluate the quantum function $Q_{\nu}(z)$, the expansion (2.13) is used for the Bose-Einstein function. The Fermi-Dirac function is computed by a direct numerical integration. The approach adopted here is taken from [20] (Chapter 6.10).

When approximating the collision operator Q_q , we always take M = 4, N = 32, and L = 8, except L = 6 for the Bose gas in the quantum regime.

5.1. Hydrodynamic regime. We compare the results of our new scheme (4.4) with the kinetic scheme (KFVS scheme in [9]) for the quantum Euler equations (2.9). The time step Δt is chosen by the CFL condition, independent of ϵ . Fig. 7 shows the behavior of a Bose gas when $\theta_0 = 0.01$. Fig. 8 shows the behavior of a Bose gas when $\theta_0 = 9$. The solutions of a Fermi gas at $\theta_0 = 0.01$ are very similar to Fig. 7, so we omit them here. Fig. 9 shows the behavior of a Fermi gas when $\theta_0 = 9$. All the results agree well in this regime, which exactly implies the scheme (4.4) is asymptotic preserving (when the Knudsen number ϵ goes to zero, the scheme becomes a fluid solver).

5.2. Kinetic regime. We compare the results of our new scheme (4.4) with the explicit forward Euler scheme. The time step Δt for the new scheme is still chosen by the CFL condition. When the Knudsen number ϵ is not very small, 10^{-1} or 10^{-2} , the above Δt is also enough for the explicit scheme. Fig. 10 shows the behavior of a Bose gas when $\theta_0 = 0.01$. Fig. 11 shows the behavior of a Bose gas when $\theta_0 = 0.01$ are very similar to Fig. 10, so we omit them here. Fig. 12 shows the behavior of a Fermi gas when $\theta_0 = 9$. Again all the results agree well which



FIGURE 7. Bose gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 4$, $\theta_0 = 0.01$, $z_l = 0.0016$, $z_r = 7.9546e - 04$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0013$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: KFVS scheme [9] for quantum Euler equations (2.9); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).

implies the scheme (4.4) is also reliable in the kinetic regime. To avoid the boundary effect, all the simulations in this subsection were carried out on a slightly larger spatial domain $x \in [-0.25, 1.25]$.

6. CONCLUSION

A novel scheme was introduced for the quantum Boltzmann equation, inspired by work [6, 9]. The new idea here is to penalize the quantum collision operator by a 'classical' BGK operator so as to avoid the difficulty of inverting the nonlinear system $\rho = \rho(z,T)$, e = e(z,T). The new scheme is uniformly stable in terms of the Knudsen number, and can capture the fluid (Euler) limit even if the small scale is not numerically resolved. We have also developed a spectral method for the quantum collision operator, following its classical counterpart [16, 7].

So far we have not considered the quantum gas in the extreme situation. For example, the Bose gas becomes degenerate when the fugacity $z \rightarrow 1$. Many interesting phenomena occur in this regime. Our future work will focus on this aspect.

Acknowledgments. The second author would like to thank Mr. Bokai Yan for helpful discussions on the spectral method of the collision operator.

References

- L. Arlotti and M. Lachowicz. Euler and Navier-Stokes limits of the Uehling-Uhlenbeck quantum kinetic equations. J. Math. Phys., 38:3571–3588, 1997.
- [2] T. Carleman. Sur la théorie de l'équation intégrodifférentielle de Boltzmann. Acta Math., 60:91–146, 1933.
- [3] C. Cercignani. The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.



FIGURE 8. Bose gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 4$, $\theta_0 = 9$, $z_l = 0.7613$, $z_r = 0.5114$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0017$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: KFVS scheme [9] for quantum Euler equations (2.9); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).

- [4] G. Dimarco and L. Pareschi. Exponential Runge-Kutta methods for stiff kinetic equations. preprint.
- [5] M. Escobedo and S. Mischler. On a quantum Boltzmann equation for a gas of photons. J. Math. Pures Appl., 80:471–515, 2001.
- [6] F. Filbet and S. Jin. A class of asymptotic-preserving schemes for kinetic equations and related problems with stiff sources. J. Comput. Phys., 229:7625–7648, 2010.
- [7] F. Filbet, C. Mouhot, and L. Pareschi. Solving the Boltzmann equation in NlogN. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 28:1029– 1053, 2006.
- [8] T. Goudon, S. Jin, J.-G. Liu, and B. Yan. Asymptotic-Preserving schemes for kinetic-fluid modeling of disperse two-phase flows. preprint.
- [9] J. W. Hu and S. Jin. On kinetic flux vector splitting schemes for quantum Euler equations. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 4:517–530, 2011.
- [10] J. W. Hu and L. Ying. A fast spectral algorithm for the quantum Boltzmann collision operator. preprint.
- [11] R. J. LeVeque. Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 1992.
- [12] X. Lu. A modified Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles: isotropic solutions and long-time behavior. J. Stat. Phys., 98:1335–1394, 2000.
- [13] X. Lu. On spatially homogeneous solutions of a modified Boltzmann equation for Fermi-Dirac particles. J. Stat. Phys., 105:353–388, 2001.
- [14] X. Lu and B. Wennberg. On stability and strong convergence for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for Fermi-Dirac particles. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 168:1–34, 2003.
- [15] P. Markowich and L. Pareschi. Fast, conservative and entropic numerical methods for the Bosonic Boltzmann equation. Numerische Math., 99:509–532, 2005.
- [16] C. Mouhot and L. Pareschi. Fast algorithms for computing the Boltzmann collision operator. Math. Comput., 75:1833–1852, 2006.
- [17] L. W. Nordheim. On the kinetic method in the new statistics and its application in the electron theory of conductivity. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 119:689–698, 1928.



FIGURE 9. Fermi gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 4$, $\theta_0 = 9$, $z_l = 3.1887$, $z_r = 1.0466$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0013$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: KFVS scheme [9] for quantum Euler equations (2.9); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).

- [18] L. Pareschi and G. Russo. Numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation I. Spectrally accurate approximation of the collision operator. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 37:1217–1245, 2000.
- [19] L. Pareschi and G. Russo. Implicit-Explicit Runge-Kutta methods and applications to hyperbolic systems with relaxation. J. Sci. Comput., 25:129–155, 2005.
- [20] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery. Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2007.
- [21] E. A. Uehling and G. E. Uhlenbeck. Transport phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac gases. I. Phys. Rev., 43:552–561, 1933.
- [22] C. Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In S. Friedlander and D. Serre, editors, Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics, volume I, pages 71–305. North-Holland, 2002.

FRANCIS FILBET

UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ LYON I, CNRS UMR 5208, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

Jingwei Hu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, USA EMAIL: hu@math.wisc.edu

 ${\tt E-MAIL: filbet@math.univ-lyon1.fr}$



FIGURE 10. Bose gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 2$, $\theta_0 = 0.01$, $z_l = 0.0016$, $z_r = 7.9546e - 04$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0013$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: Forward Euler scheme for QBE (1.1); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).

current address

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL ENGINEERING AND SCIENCES (ICES), THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 1 UNIVERSITY STATION C0200, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA EMAIL: hu@ices.utexas.edu

Shi Jin

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, USA

EMAIL: jin@math.wisc.edu



A NUMERICAL SCHEME FOR THE QUANTUM BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH STIFF COLLISION TERMS 17

FIGURE 11. Bose gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 1$, $\theta_0 = 9$, $z_l = 0.7613$, $z_r = 0.5114$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0017$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: Forward Euler scheme for QBE (1.1); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).



FIGURE 12. Fermi gas. $\epsilon = 1e - 2$, $\theta_0 = 9$, $z_l = 3.1887$, $z_r = 1.0466$. Density ρ , velocity u, fugacity z, and temperature T at t = 0.2. $\Delta t = 0.0013$, $\Delta x = 0.01$. Solid line: Forward Euler scheme for QBE (1.1); \circ : New scheme (4.4) for QBE (1.1).