

Chapter 1

Notes on Higher-order Propagation of Chaos

The following are notes based on [1].

1.1 Cluster Expansion

Recall BBGKY hierarchy: for N particles interacting via bounded force $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{2d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the evolution of $f_{j,N}$, j -marginal of the joint distribution, satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_{j,N} - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} f_{j,N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1}^j \nabla_{x_k} \cdot (K(x_k, x_l) f_{j,N}) = -\frac{N-j}{N} \sum_{k=1}^j \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \int K(x_k, x_*) f_{j+1,N}(X_j, x_*) dx_* \\ f_{j,N}(0, \cdot) = f^{\otimes j} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for chaotic initial data.

For any $P \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \{*\}$ with $|P| < \infty$, and any $h : (\mathbb{T}^d)^P \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,l} h &: (\mathbb{T}^d)^P \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ S_{k,l} h(x) &:= \nabla_{x_k} \cdot (K(x_k, x_l) h(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, if $*, k \in P$ and $k \neq *$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_k h &: (\mathbb{T}^d)^{P-\{*\}} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ H_k h(x^{P-\{*\}}) &:= \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \int K(x_k, x_*) h(x) dx_*. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Thus the BBGKY hierarchy reads

$$\partial_t f_{j,N} - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} f_{j,N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1}^j S_{k,l} f_{j,N} = -\frac{N-j}{N} \sum_{k=1}^j H_k f_{[j] \cup \{*\}, N} \quad (1.4)$$

To study the asymptotic behavior of $f_{j,N}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we may write the perturbative expansion expression $f_{j,N} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N^{-i} f_j^i$ due to the structure of BBGKY, where f_j^i is independent of N . Plug this ansatz into 1.4 and collect terms of the same order,

$$\partial_t f_j^i - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} f_j^i + \sum_{k=1}^j H_k f_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^i = j \sum_{k=1}^j H_k f_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^{i-1} - \sum_{k,l=1}^j S_{k,l} f_j^{i-1} \quad (1.5)$$

To measure the error term, we may consider the following L^2 divergence

$$\int \left| \frac{f_{j,N} - \sum_{k=0}^i N^{-k} f_j^k}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \quad (1.6)$$

Now we may state the main result of this paper.

- The zeroth order term $f_j^0 = \rho^{\otimes j}$ as is expected, with ρ solution to McKean-Vlasov equation

$$\partial_t \rho - \Delta \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho K * \rho) \quad (1.7)$$

- For fixed time interval $[0, T]$, there exists some constant $C = C(\|K\|_{L^\infty}, i, T)$ s.t.

$$\int \left| \frac{f_{j,N} - \sum_{k=0}^i N^{-k} f_j^k}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq C \left(\frac{j}{N} \right)^{2(i+1)} \quad (1.8)$$

for $j \leq CN^{2/3}$.

Remark 1. For $i = 0$, we have,

$$\int \left| \frac{f_{j,N} - \rho^{\otimes j}}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq C \left(\frac{j}{N} \right)^2 \quad (1.9)$$

On the other hand,

$$\int \left| \frac{f_{j,N} - \rho^{\otimes j}}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \geq \frac{1}{2} H(f_{j,N} | \rho^{\otimes j}) \geq \|f_{j,N} - \rho^{\otimes j}\|_{TV}^2, \quad (1.10)$$

so we have recovered Lacker's result [2].

It is hard to get the bound directly due to the hierarchical structure, and we shall study f_j^i through the lens of cluster expansion.

For P a collection of indices, we denote $h_{|P|,N}$ with domain $(\mathbb{T}^d)^P$ by $h_{P,N}$. Now we introduce the cluster expansion, i.e., we express $f_{j,N}$'s in terms of a family of exchangeable functions $g_{1,N}, \dots, g_{N,N}$, namely

$$f_{j,N} = \sum_{\pi \vdash [j]} \prod_{P \in \pi} g_{P,N}. \quad (1.11)$$

From the combinatorial identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \leq \pi} (-1)^{|\sigma|-1} (|\sigma|-1)! = \begin{cases} 1, & |\pi| = 1, \\ 0, & |\pi| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

we may inverse the expression into

$$g_{j,N} = \sum_{\pi \vdash [j]} (-1)^{|\pi|-1} (|\pi|-1)! \prod_{P \in \pi} f_{P,N}. \quad (1.13)$$

From 1.4, 1.13 and 1.11 we may get the evolution of $g_{j,N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_j - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} g_j &= -\frac{N-j}{N} \sum_{k=1}^j H_k g_{[j] \cup \{*\}} + \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} \frac{j-1-|W|}{N} H_k g_{W \cup \{k, *\}} g_{[j] - \{k\} - W} \\ &\quad - \frac{N-j}{N} \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}} g_{[j] \cup \{*\} - W - \{k\}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} \sum_{R \subset [j] - \{k\} - W} \frac{j-1-|W|-|R|}{N} H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}} g_{R \cup \{*\}} g_{[j] - R - W - \{k\}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1}^j S_{k,l} g_j - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k,l\}} S_{k,l} g_{W \cup \{k\}} g_{[j] - \{k\} - W}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Now we shall expand $g_{j,N} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N^{-i} g_j^i$ with g_j^i independent of N . And we may collect terms of the same order to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_j^i - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} g_j^i &= -\sum_{k=1}^j H_k g_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^i - \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} \sum_{m=0}^i H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}}^m g_{[j] \cup \{*\} - W - \{k\}}^{i-m} \\ &\quad + j \sum_{k=1}^j H_k g_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^{i-1} + \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} (j-1-|W|) \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} H_k g_{W \cup \{k, *\}}^m g_{[j] - \{k\} - W}^{i-1-m} \\ &\quad + j \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}}^m g_{[j] \cup \{*\} - W - \{k\}}^{i-1-m} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k\}} \sum_{R \subset [j] - \{k\} - W} (j-1-|W|-|R|) \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \sum_{n=0}^{i-1-m} H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}}^m g_{R \cup \{*\}}^n g_{[j] - R - W - \{k\}}^{i-1-m-n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k,l=1}^j S_{k,l} g_j^{i-1} - \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^j \sum_{W \subset [j] - \{k,l\}} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} S_{k,l} g_{W \cup \{k\}}^m g_{[j] - \{k\} - W}^{i-1-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

For chaotic initial data, we may assume that $g_1^0 \equiv \rho$ and that $g_j^0 \equiv 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Let

$$T := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : 1 \leq j \leq i+1\} \quad (1.16)$$

Then we have the following proposition

Proposition 2.

- 1) For $(i, j) \notin T$, we have $g_j^i \equiv 0$;
- 2) For $(i, j) \in T$, the equation for g_j^i depends only in the g_l^k 's with $k \leq i$;
- 3) The equation is linear in $(g_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty$ for $(i, j) > (0, 1)$.
- 4) If the solution to McKean-Vlasov equation is unique, the g_j^i 's are unique.

Proof. • If we take $g_j^i = 0$ for all $(i, j) \notin T$, there is no contradiction in 1.15. To see this, we only need to verify that if $(i, j) \notin T$, then all terms in RHS of 1.15 involve g_l^k for some $(k, l) \notin T$. We may verify, e.g., the term

$$H_k g_{W \cup \{k\}}^m g_{[j] \cup \{*\} - W - \{k\}}^{i-m} \quad (1.17)$$

does. Otherwise, we have $(m, |W| + 1), (i - m, j - |W|) \in T$, then

$$|W| + 1 \leq m + 1, j - |W| \leq i - m + 1 \Rightarrow j \leq i + 1 \Rightarrow (i, j) \in T, \quad (1.18)$$

which is a contradiction.

- Since our initial data guarantees $g_j^i(0, \cdot) = 0$ for $(i, j) \neq (0, 1)$, we only need to prove uniqueness of solution to 1.15. Consider energy of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-8jCt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{jd}} |f_j(t, \cdot)|^2 dX_j, \quad (1.19)$$

where $C = \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + 1$. Now we prove uniqueness by induction on i . In fact, if we have proven uniqueness of $(g_l^k)_{l=1}^\infty$ for $k < i$, and there are two solutions (g_j^i) and (\bar{g}_j^i) . Let $G_j^i = g_j^i - \bar{g}_j^i$, then

$$\partial_t G_j^i - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} G_j^i = - \sum_{k=1}^j H_k \rho(x_k) G_{[j] \cup \{*\} - \{k\}}^i - \sum_{k=1}^j H_k \rho(x_*) G_{[j]}^i - \sum_{k=1}^j H_k G_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^i + 0. \quad (1.20)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{-8jCt} \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j \right) \\ &= -8jC e^{-8jCt} \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j + e^{-8jCt} 2 \int \partial_t G_j^i G_j^i dX_j \\ &= -8jC e^{-8jCt} \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j + \\ & \quad + 2e^{-8jCt} \sum_{k=1}^j \left\{ \int \Delta_{x_k} G_j^i G_j^i dX_j - \int G_j^i \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \int K(x_k, x_*) G_{[j] \cup \{*\} - \{k\}}^i dx_* dX_j \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int G_j^i \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \left(\int K(x_k, x_*) \rho(x_*) dx_* G_{[j]}^i \right) dX_j - \int G_j^i \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \left(\int K(x_k, x_*) G_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^i dx_* \right) dX_j \right\} \\ & \leq -8jC e^{-8jCt} \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j + 2jC e^{-8jCt} \left(2 \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j + \int |G_{j+1}^i|^2 dX_{j+1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

For $t \in [0, \frac{\log 2}{8C}]$, we have $e^{8Ct} \leq 2$, then summing over all the terms and we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-8jCt} \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ [(j-1)e^{8Ct} - 4j] C \int |G_j^i|^2 dX_j \right\} \leq 0 \quad (1.22)$$

Then we may find $G_j^i \equiv 0$ for all j at any time interval. \square

Proposition 3. For any i, j and any $1 \leq l \leq j$

$$\int g_j^i dx_l = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 0, j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

Thus $\int f_{j+1}^i dx_{j+1} = f_j^i$.

Proof. We may integrate both sides of 1.15 by x_l to get the first claim. And by comparing terms of the same order in 1.11

$$f_j^i = \sum_{\pi \vdash [j]} \sum_{\substack{(i_P)_{P \in \pi} \\ \sum i_P = i}} \prod_{P \in \pi} g_P^{i_P}. \quad (1.24)$$

Thus the second claim follows. \square

1.2 Hierarchy Bounds

Proposition 4. Let $\tilde{g}_j^i := \frac{g_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}}$, there is a constant $C(\|K\|_{L^\infty}, i)$ s.t.

$$\int |\tilde{g}_j^i|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq C e^{Ct}. \quad (1.25)$$

Proof. We may prove it by induction on i . Suppose the claim is true for g_l^k 's with $k < i$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\tilde{g}_j^i|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq 3j \int |\tilde{g}_j^i|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j + C e^{Ct} \left(\sup_{k < i} \int |\tilde{g}_l^k|^2 \rho^{\otimes l} dX_l \right)^3, \quad (1.26)$$

and since $\tilde{g}_j^i = 0$ for $j > i + 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\tilde{g}_j^i|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq C \int |\tilde{g}_j^i|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j + C e^{Ct} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \|(\tilde{g}_l^k)\|_{L_{X_l}^2(\rho^{\otimes l})}^2 \right)^3 \quad (1.27)$$

Summing over j and applying Gronwall we may conclude. \square

If we let

$$\varphi_j^i := \sum_{k=0}^i N^{-k} f_j^k \quad (1.28)$$

and

$$R_j^i := N^{-i-1} \sum_{k=1}^j e_k \otimes \sum_{l=1}^j \left(\int K(x_k, x_*) f_{[j] \cup \{*\}}^i dx_* - K(x_k, x_l) f_j^i \right) \quad (1.29)$$

Then

$$\partial_t \varphi_j^i - \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta_{x_k} \varphi_j^i + \frac{N-j}{N} \sum_{k=1}^j \nabla_{x_k} \cdot \int K(x_k, x_*) \varphi_{j+1}^i(X_{[j] \cup \{*\}}) dx_* + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1}^j \nabla_{x_k} \cdot (K(x_k, x_l) \varphi_j) = \nabla \cdot R_j^i. \quad (1.30)$$

Proposition 5. For $\gamma_j^i := \varphi_j^i - f_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \left| \frac{\gamma_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j &\leq 2j \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left(\int \left| \frac{\gamma_{j+1}^i}{\rho^{\otimes(j+1)}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes(j+1)} dx_* dX_j - \int \left| \frac{\gamma_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \right) \\ &+ 4 \frac{j^3}{N^2} \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \left| \frac{\gamma_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j + 2 \int \left| \frac{R_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j. \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

Proof. Direct computation. □

Proposition 6. There exist $C = C(\|K\|_{L^\infty}, i) < +\infty$, s.t.

$$\int \left| \frac{R_j^i}{\rho^{\otimes j}} \right|^2 \rho^{\otimes j} dX_j \leq C e^{Ct} \left(\frac{j}{N} \right)^{2(i+1)} \quad (1.32)$$

By the two proposition above, the main result follows from a slight adjustment of Lacker's hierarchical inequality [2].

Bibliography

- [1] Elias Hess-Childs and Keefer Rowan. Higher-order Propagation of Chaos in L^2 for Interacting Diffusions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09654*, 2023.
- [2] Daniel Lacker. Hierarchies, Entropy, and Quantitative Propagation of Chaos for Mean Field Diffusions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.02983*, 2021.